0075370 Elzie, Teri L

From:

Zeisloft, Jamie

Sent:

Thursday, October 19, 2000 10:03 AM

To:

'Tom O'Brien'; Elzie, Teri L; 'Dan Landeen'; 'Helen Hillman'; 'Jake Jakabosky'; 'Jay McConnaughey'; 'Larry Gadbois'; 'Larry Goldstein'; 'Lauri Vigue'; 'Nick Iadanza'; 'Preston Sieeger'; 'Susan Coburn Hughs'; 'tzeilman@yakama.com'; 'stuartharris@ctuir.com'; Teel,

Darci D

Subject:

RE: FW: PAD Transmittal Letter

Tom's right, the PAD does not say that an assessment is not warranted. What it does say is "for various reasons cited among the trustees, the trustees have decided not to proceed with a damage assessment." I should have used this language. I also should have added a statement about the injury (we really can't separate the two issues).

I'd like to suggest one more revision. Let's start the second paragraph with "It is the finding of the HNRTC that natural resource injury has occurred or may be occurring at waste sites within the 1100 Area. However, for various reasons cited among the trustees, the trustees have decided not to proceed with a damage assessment. This finding represents ...' This combines what I was trying to say with what Tom wants to say. It also reflects the essence of the PAD and hence, should be included in our letter. And it's taken verbatim from the PAD.

Jamie

----Original Message-----From: Elzie, Teri L

Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2000 8:58 AM

To: 'Dan Landeen'; 'Helen Hillman'; 'Jake Jakabosky'; 'Jay

McConnaughey'; 'Larry Gadbois'; 'Larry Goldstein'; 'Lauri Vigue'; 'Nick
Iadanza'; 'Preston Sleeger'; 'Susan Coburn Hughs'; Zeisloft, Jamie;
'tzeilman@yakama.com'; 'stuartharris@ctuir.com'; Teel, Darci D

Cc: 'Tom O'Brien'; Elzie, Teri L

Subject: EW: EW: BAD Transmitted Letter

Subject: FW: FW: PAD Transmittal Letter



EDMC

Everyone -

Please see message and attachments below from Tom O'Brien.

Please send any comments to Tom by Wednesday, November 1, 2000.

Any questions, please call.

Thanks! Teri

----Original Message----

From: Tom_OBrien@r1.fws.gov [mailto:Tom_OBrien@r1.fws.gov]

Sent: Thursday, October 19, 2000 8:48 AM

To: Elzie, Teri L Co: danl@nezperce.org; DDTeel@mail.bhi-erc.com; gadbois.larry@epa.gov;

Helen_Hillman@hazmat.noaa.gov; James_H_Jr_Zeisloft@rl.gov; jjakabos@or.blm.gov; jmcc461@ecy.wa.gov; IgoI461@ecy.wa.gov;

Nick.ladanza@noaa.gov; preston_sleeger@ios.doi.gov;

stuartharris@ctuir.com; susan.c.hughs@state.or.us; TLEIzie@mail.bhi-erc.com; tom_obrien@mail.fws.gov; tzeilman@yakama.com;

viguelav@dfw.wa.gov

Subject: Re: FW: PAD Transmittal Letter

warrented. Based upon Barry Steins recommendation about this statement I think the letter could remain mute on what the HNRTC determined and let the authorized officals read the finding for themselves. Therefore we could eliminate the first sentence, second paragraph altogether and not have any reason to argue about what the council determined. I put 30 days in for response from the authorized officals because that appears to be the normal lenght of time listed throughout 43 CFR 11 for document review. This is a different situation then the normal damage assessment process but it should work. Please request response from the HNRTC within the original two week time frame.

Thanks

Tom

(See attached file: 1100Transmit2.doc)

Everyone -

Please see Jamie's message below.

```
Thanks!
Teri
> ----Original Message----
> From: Zeisloft, James H Jr
> Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2000 10:24 AM
> To: Elzie, Teri L
> Subject: PAD Transmittal Letter
>
> Teri,
>
> Please forward this message to the trustees. Thanks.
>
> Jamie
```

```
the
> council's position.
>
>
>
>
> Jamie
>
<<1100Transmit1.doc>>
```